



Eoin Rooney <eoin.rooney@sinnfein.ie>

RHI Next Steps

17 January 2017 at 13:10
 Ted Howell <ted.howell@sinnfein.ie>
 To: Máirtín Ó Muilleoir <mairtin@newbelfast.com>, Martin Lynch <martin.lynch@sinnfein.ie>, michelle o
 neill [Personal information redacted by the RHI] Stephen McGlade [Personal information redacted by the RHI]
 Cc: Aidan McAtee [Personal information redacted by the RHI] Seán Mag Uidhir [Personal information redacted by the RHI] Eoin
 Rooney <eoin.rooney@sinnfein.ie>

Ola; Agree Mairtin. Collectivity key to managing our way on this over the next while

A group to do so could consist of you/Eoin Conor and Conor Og and Aiden.

Pulling in when necessary/appropriate Sean Mac Uighir.

Have copied to others to get actioned

Ted

On 17 January 2017 at 11:38, Máirtín Ó Muilleoir <mairtin@newbelfast.com> wrote:

I am taken by Raymond McCartney's proposal yesterday to strip apart the RHI proposal of Minister Hamilton with a view to coming to a firmer opinion on its merits or **otherwise**.

Therefore future actions:

1. Can we pull together an RHI team again. Who is that, who will call together?
2. Can we pull together the Economy Cttee members to carry out the work of scrutiny that the committee will be carrying out. And to monitor the continuing fault lines in the solution.
3. I met officials today, questions continue. I have told them I have very little confidence that this solution will work.

Some key points:

1. I don't have confidence in the interim solution brought forward.
2. If they had have started earlier we would have had the full, cost-free solution the public demands.
3. That this temporary sticking plaster solution isn't good enough.
4. That failure to release names of beneficiaries further undermines confidence in dept.
5. That they could start inspections now in conjunction with OFGEM to push those abusing the system out. The spike accounts for £25m of the annual overspend and an inspection could close many of them down.

Re PR we could start to put out some of this information to tee us up to have flexibility to vote as necessary next week.

Mairtin



Eoin Rooney <eoin.rooney@sinnfein.ie>

RHI Next Steps

Eoin Rooney <eoin.rooney@sinnfein.ie>

17 January 2017 at 12:36

To: Máirtín Ó Muilleoir <mairtin@newbelfast.com>

Cc: Aidan McAteer <[redacted]>, Ted Howell <ted.howell@sinnfein.ie>, Seán Mag Uidhir

Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

My thoughts:

- The DUP are proposing a one year sticking plaster
- Instead they should do what they should have done a year ago
- That is do the proper groundwork, bring forward a comprehensive 19 year solution, and follow due process (including consultation)
- They should also implement other aspects of a solution - 100% inspections, publishing the names of scheme beneficiaries, clawback mechanisms
- DfE has not even properly appraised this option, nor sought legal advice on it
- They have instead gone for a rushed short-term solution
- That is not good enough

Eoin

On 17 January 2017 at 11:38, Máirtín Ó Muilleoir <mairtin@newbelfast.com> wrote:

I am taken by Raymond McCartney's proposal yesterday to strip apart the RHI proposal of Minister Hamilton with a view to coming to a firmer opinion on its merits or **otherwise**.

Therefore future actions:

1. Can we pull together an RHI team again. Who is that, who will call together?
2. Can we pull together the Economy Cttee members to carry out the work of scrutiny that the committee will be carrying out. And to monitor the continuing fault lines in the solution.
3. I met officials today, questions continue. I have told them I have very little confidence that this solution will work.

Some key points:

1. I don't have confidence in the interim solution brought forward.
2. If they had have started earlier we would have had the full, cost-free solution the public demands.
3. That this temporary sticking plaster solution isn't good enough.
4. That failure to release names of beneficiaries further undermines confidence in dept.
5. That they could start inspections now in conjunction with OFGEM to push those abusing the system out. The spike accounts for £25m of the annual overspend and an inspection could close many of them down.

Re PR we could start to put out some of this information to tee us up to have flexibility to vote as necessary next week.

Mairtin