

Reply Reply All Forward

FW: Arlene Foster questions

Clive McFarland

To: Timothy Johnston; Richard Bullick [richardbullick@...]
Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

30 January 2017 16:09

A DUP Spokesperson said, **“A public inquiry has been established to ascertain all of the facts in relation to issues pertaining to the RHI scheme. Future costs, which some deliberately and wrongly portrayed as having already been spent, will be capped. Those are the issues of central importance for the public.”**

Clive McFarland
DUP Press Office

Tel: 02890 654479

Mob: Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

Web:

Follow us on Twitter:

Find us on Facebook:

Watch on YouTube:

See us on Flickr:

From: Sam McBride [Sam.mcbride@newsletter.co.uk]

Sent: 29 January 2017 21:53

To: Clive McFarland

Subject: Arlene Foster questions

Hi Clive,

This is something for the morning.

On page 11 of this (seemingly some time in 2012) document - <https://www.energyinst.org/documents/903> - Arlene Foster took personal credit for the setting up of the RHI.

How can Mrs Foster take credit for the work of her officials in setting up the RHI but now blame those same officials when it goes wrong?

She also indicated personal knowledge of how the RHI would be funded, saying that while the scheme "initially represents funding of £25m...over the entire lifetime of the RHI the actual support levels will be much higher".

Was it the case that Mrs Foster knew the costs would be astronomically higher because of the removal of cost controls, but believed the funding was coming from the Treasury?

Finally - is this (<https://twitter.com/DavidFordMLA/status/825796361324994562>) an image of genuine DUP election literature?

If so, would you mind sending through a point by point explanation for each claim?