

**To:** Matthew Harnack[Matthew.Harnack@ofgem.gov.uk]  
**From:** Peter Rice  
**Sent:** 2011-10-21T09:42:33Z  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** FW: Corporate Plan  
**Received:** 2011-10-21T09:42:33Z

**From:** Ruth Lancaster  
**Sent:** 19 October 2011 20:19  
**To:** Peter Rice  
**Cc:** Elizabeth Hillman  
**Subject:** FW: Corporate Plan

Peter

Set out below is my first stab at the Corporate Planning figures for my areas. (Note: these are for external Legal only and do not include internal Legal FTE figures). I have discussed these with Liz and there are some issues (flagged below) that she is going to raise with you in connection with some of these areas.

#### **NEW SCHEMES**

RHI

Consultancy figures proposed -

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
102,000	285,000	160,000	150,000	100,000 (new)	100,000 (new)

The original estimate of legal costs was developed on the basis set out in my e-mail to Matthew of 26 May 2011. This estimate was reduced to the figures shown in the Baseline Document dated 3 June 2011 on the understanding that we would be required to support only 3 of the 12 areas identified in my e-mail of 26 May. In our view this estimate in the Baseline Document was unrealistically low and indeed the support required has not been limited to the three areas identified. We remain within budget for the current financial year only because (a) we are only part way through this financial year and (b) certain anticipated pieces of work have slipped in the timetable and have not (yet) materialised. This work will still need to be carried out at some point, only the timing of it has changed. In addition to date we have only used Counsel to advise on matters on an ad hoc basis. However, we are in the process of appointing external law firm support at the moment, so there is likely to be a step up in external spend before the end of December 2011.

The only pieces of work for Legal in the Operational Period identified at the time of the Baseline Document were (i) supporting the rectification Regulations and (ii) procurement (PSP, contact centre and audit investigations). We have very briefly reviewed likely additional areas of work requiring Legal support during the operational period and believe there are a significant number of areas not covered in the original scope. We have included a very slight uplift in the figures above in respect of this work. However, **we do not believe this uplift is sufficient and will need to review in detail the additional work anticipated and provide you with some revised figures next week.**

In addition the impact of the competing needs of the Operational and Development teams within the GB RHI scheme and also the competing demands of the GB and NI RHI teams will have to be accommodated as all these workstreams will be running in parallel. We will be addressing the GB/NI timetable clashes over the next couple of weeks by means of some further additional support from external Counsel. Once we have secured external law firm support a more cost efficient solution may be a secondment arrangement with the firm(s) appointed.

NI RHI

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
75,000	200,000	150,000	100,000	50,000	50,000

We have no detailed specification on which to base this estimate and have not yet had time to consider the proposed differences between the NI and GB schemes. These figures are therefore a complete guess. However, we note there is quite a lengthy list of proposed departures from the GB scheme and on this basis we don't think that the suggested figure of 3% of the GB scheme estimated costs is likely to be a realistic figure for the NI scheme. We will need to revisit these figures as soon as any kind of scoping document is available and the extent of the differences from the GB scheme become apparent.

ECO (Just in case)

Generated by GEM on 07.06.2017

Annotated by RHI Inquiry

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
0 (We understand no appointment will be made until June 2012)	200,000	150,000	100,000	50,000	50,000

Again we have no detailed specification on which to base this estimate so these figures are another guess. Our view when the Proposals document was produced was that the figures estimated were unrealistically low, which means we only have budget to support a limited scope of work, which (as we have discussed before) is counterintuitive to the role of an in house Legal function. We will need to revisit these figures as soon as any kind of scoping document is available.

#### OFFSHORE

Following on from the comments and e-mails earlier about the under spend against current budgets I do not agree that this is an issue. In most cases the underspend is largely due to timetable slippage (e.g. Enduring). As with RHI all of the work anticipated by the earlier estimates still needs to be done it is only the timing if it that has changed. Care should also be taken not to make the erroneous assumption that there is not much work involved in these Projects. Taking Enduring as an example: there has been significant timetable slippage, we are also now proposing to condense 2 sets of Regs into one and to bring forward the date of the Regs (not achievable in my view bearing in mind the complexities yet to be considered). For example, we have yet to consider how to make the revised Regulations work for all 4 ongoing regimes (i.e. transitional, generator build, early OFTO build and late OFTO build). In addition issues such as how we distinguish enduring generator build from transitional etc have yet to be considered. On top of that there is likely to be substantial work in relation to the underlying codes required. Following our discussion and your later e-mail we have also looked back at the work/costs involved on earlier rounds and believe that the figures estimated for the development phase of Enduring are currently too low and have revised them upwards. However, we have also revised the estimates for the Enduring tender stages downwards. We will be happy to discuss the reasoning behind this with you.

Finally we are currently in the process of appointing external law firm support for the Offshore development work so as with RHI there is likely to be a step up in legal spend on Offshore before the end of this calendar year

#### Enduring (Development)

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
250,000	500k-600k	0	0	0	0

#### Enduring (Tender Stages)

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
0	0	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000

#### Certification

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
130,000	70,000	0	0	0	0

NB. Certification costs from 13/14 onwards are included in the relevant tender stage budgets.

#### Coordination

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
100,000	150,000	0	0	0	0

#### Energy Bill (Offshore)

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
Received from OFGEM on 07.06.2017 Annotated by RHI Inquiry					

50,000	50,000	0	0	0	
--------	--------	---	---	---	--

Interface Issues (currently "P&I")

11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
50,000	50,000	0	0	0	0

**AND FINALLY.....**

I have listed some areas below that are not currently included in the corporate planning figures for Legal. I have flagged them here for information, but Liz has said she will take these forward with you.

**DELIVERY REVIEW**

MOU/SLA/Change mech - there is a potential need to test some of his work (for example the finely balanced issues around the SLA) with Counsel. One (of several) issues we have here is that there doesn't appear to be any budget provision for any work that falls within the current financial year.

Energy Bill (Powers to Bid) – there is a potential need for law firm and/or Counsel's support on this issue. Again there is a question of how this is to be funded to the extent that support is required in the current financial year.

**SPECULATIVE WORK**

e.g. EMR, Green Deal

We understand there has been some discussion about separate budgets being set up to cover speculative work. We would like to understand, if this is the case, whether Legal will have access to those budgets or, if not, how our input will be funded.

**JR Potential**

Clarification as to how JRs are to be funded is required.

**Ruth Lancaster**

Principal Legal Adviser  
 Legal Services: Commercial  
 9 Millbank  
 London  
 SW1P 3GE  
 Tel: 0207 901 1853  
[www.ofgem.gov.uk](http://www.ofgem.gov.uk)