

To: Wightman, Stuart[Stuart.Wightman@detini.gov.uk]
Cc: Hughes, Seamus[Seamus.Hughes@detini.gov.uk]
From: Teri Clifton
Sent: 2015-05-22T07:09:12Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: Tomorrow's meeting
Received: 2015-05-22T07:09:12Z

Hi Stuart
Yes no problem – I'll be here
Regards
Teri

From: Wightman, Stuart [mailto:Stuart.Wightman@detini.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 May 2015 20:49
To: Teri Clifton
Cc: Hughes, Seamus
Subject: Re: Tomorrow's meeting

No problem Terry, but can we please have a quick chat in the morning.

With the large increase in uptake over past year our monthly payments have jumped from £264k (Mar 14) to £1.5m (June 15 estimate). We're currently over our proposed budget and have been trying to get clarity over our 2015/16 RHI budget for the past few months. I wanted to discuss if it would be possible to queue applications for a few weeks until we can budget confirmation. We might be forced to introduce a tiered tariff for biomass sooner than planned.

I'll speak to you in the morning.
Thanks, Stuart

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Teri Clifton
Sent: Thursday, 21 May 2015 17:10
To: Wightman, Stuart; Hughes, Seamus
Subject: Tomorrow's meeting

Hi both
I've just realised that Edmund, Katy and Mhairi are all off tomorrow, so I was wondering if you wanted to re-schedule for next week? I think everyone forgot about the Bank Holiday coming up.

Regards
Teri
Teri Clifton
Head of Operations
Non-Domestic RHI
Ofgem
3rd Floor
Cornerstone
107 West Regent Street
Glasgow
G2 2BA
Tel: 0141 341 3991
www.ofgem.gov.uk



This message may be confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. It does not represent the views or opinions of Ofgem unless expressly stated otherwise.

If you have received this message by mistake, please contact the sender and immediately delete the message from your

system; you should not copy the message or disclose its contents to any other person or organisation.

OFG-61731

To: Frances Hale[Frances.Hale@ofgem.gov.uk]; Tommy Moody[Tommy.Moody@ofgem.gov.uk]; Edmund Ward[Edmund.Ward@ofgem.gov.uk]; Milica Malic[Milica.Malic@ofgem.gov.uk]; Andy Morrall[Andrew.Morrall@ofgem.gov.uk]; Shaneigh Turner[Shaneigh.Turner@ofgem.gov.uk]; Amy Powell-Tuck[Amy.Powell-Tuck@ofgem.gov.uk]; Nigel Stokes[Nigel.Stokes@ofgem.gov.uk]; Colin Royce[Colin.Royce@Ofgem.gov.uk]; Tom Crawford[Tom.Crawford@ofgem.gov.uk]; 'Oliver Edberg'[Oliver.Edberg@ricardo-aea.com]
Cc: 'Martin Williams'[Martin.Williams@ricardo-aea.com]
From: Nicola Percival
Sent: 2015-05-22T10:06:59Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: Site audit template review - Ofgem/Ricardo-AEA
Received: 2015-05-22T10:07:01Z

Hi all,

There is some absence today from the technical team which may mean that fewer technical managers can make the meeting than originally planned. We will still be able to discuss the heat loss section with Tommy Moody so I think this will be a great opportunity for us to agree an approach to this part of the template.

We can still aim to discuss the issues Oliver and I identified and which are in the calendar invitation (and below) but the absence of some Ofgem technical staff may mean that general queries regarding the individual technologies happens via email next week.

To those who can still make it – please come prepared with any queries you may have or suggestions for inclusions/removals from the template. The template is attached to the calendar invitation as a word document.

I look forward to seeing you later.

Thanks, Nicki

Main issues for discussion:

- 4.1.4 - Heat loss assessment section (new structure)
 - HLA for 'properly insulated' buried pipework - what evidence do Ofgem request from participants, what are Ricardo-AEA expected to check?
- 4.1.3.9 and 4.1.3.10 - operating hours in the application form, are these checks still relevant for non-HLA sites / what is the value in these?
- 3.1.1.10 - Buffer vessel check, no. of ports- creates lots of observations for very minor points- what is the purpose of this check? (considering schematic is being checked separately)
- 3.1.3 (vents section) is this section still relevant given checks 3.1.2.6 and 3.1.2.7?
 - Ricardo-AEA's understanding is that originally (first year of the scheme) sites were asked to provide specifications of the vents onsite in a pre-defined list of questions from Ofgem (these were provided to Ricardo-AEA). The process at Ofgem subsequently changed to focus more on just whether the vents could be closed and what area of the building envelope was covered by the vents. If a site now has vents that cannot be closed i.e. a drying facility, what evidence is requested/provided to Ofgem and what should Ricardo-AEA be checking. Is the current vents section required?
- In addition to these, **please could everyone cast their eye over the technology-specific checks for their main areas of work/specialism?** I am wanting to ensure that we are capturing all relevant information on site in the most appropriate way and in line with Ofgem's operational approach.

Nicola Percival

Manager, RHI Audit Programme
New Scheme Development
9 Millbank
London
SW1P 3GE
Tel: 0203 263 9896
www.ofgem.gov.uk

ofgem e-serve