

**To:** Jacqueline Balian[Jacqueline.Balian@ofgem.gov.uk]; Gareth John[Gareth.John@ofgem.gov.uk]  
**From:** Teri Clifton  
**Sent:** 2014-03-13T14:36:49Z  
**Importance:** High  
**Subject:** DETI - why 3%  
**Received:** 2014-03-13T14:36:50Z

Hi Jacqueline and Gareth

DETI have come back and are still querying the 3 % on the basis that Matthew had previously told them this wouldn't work. They are now trying to understand why we are maintaining 3 % as the right amount.

They have completed their calculations based on cumulative totals for this financial year ( 2013/14).

Based on this the numbers are as follows:

	NI		GB	
	Applications	Accreditations	Applications	Accreditations
<b>April 2013 – Feb 2014</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>2990</b>	<b>2283</b>
<b>Forecast for year (For NI numbers I've assumed the same submissions and approvals as February)</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>3360</b>	<b>2633</b>

	NI % of GB		NI % of UK (GB & NI)	
	Applications	Accreditations	Applications	Accreditations
<b>April 2013 – Feb 2014</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.1</b>
<b>Forecast for year ( as above)</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.1</b>

This is good news as they were showing this at 2 % at the end of December, with the figures they supplied. I've spoken to Joanne and they only want further justification in the letter, so unless anyone has objections or knows of any other logic, I propose this is the table we use to justify the 3%. We could argue 3% is light, but as this stage, we have agreed to the £164k, so I don't think this would be helpful. I will start updating the letter on this basis, and will wait to hear from you, conscious you are both in the IB at the moment.

Regards

Teri

**Teri Clifton**

Senior Manager RHI Enquiries and Assessments

NSD

Ofgem

3rd Floor

Cornerstone

107 West Regent Street

Glasgow

G2 2BA

Tel: 0141 341 3991

[www.ofgem.gov.uk](http://www.ofgem.gov.uk)

