

**To:** Edmund Ward[Edmund.Ward@ofgem.gov.uk]  
**Cc:** Tom Crawford[Tom.Crawford@ofgem.gov.uk]; Jacqueline Balian[Jacqueline.Balian@ofgem.gov.uk]; Mark George[Mark.George@ofgem.gov.uk]  
**From:** Tommy Moody  
**Sent:** 2014-10-07T12:59:54Z  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** RE: Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry  
**Received:** 2014-10-07T12:59:55Z

Hi Edmund,  
Please see my summary below of these NIRHI installations:

NIRHI	Installation Name	Status	Comments
Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry	Poultry House 1	DA w/ JB	Amendment with DA. Final schematic shows installation is heating poultry house 1.
Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry	Poultry House 6	DA Queue	Amendment with DA. Final schematic shows installation is heating poultry house 6 only but pipework passes through poultry house 5.
Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry	Poultry House No 1, No 2 & Dwelling	QC with TM	Schematic shows installation is providing heat to poultry houses 3 and 5.
Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry	Poultry House 2	DA with EW	Schematic shows installation is providing heat to poultry house 2. HLC is 'de minimis' at £99.16 – to be verified at audit.
Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry	Poultry House 3	DA with EW	Schematic shows installation is heating poultry house 3 only but pipework passes through poultry house 4.
Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry	Poultry House 4 and dwelling house	DA with EW	Schematic shows installation is heating poultry house 4 and 'dwelling house'.

It would therefore appear at present that Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry are both providing heat to Poultry House 3. See below schematics:  
<http://loniis2:102/Accreditation/DownloadDocument.aspx?Mode=stateless&DocumentId=176209>  
<http://loniis2:102/Accreditation/DownloadDocument.aspx?Mode=stateless&DocumentId=147544>

It is potentially possible that both these installations serve hydraulically separate heaters within Poultry House 3 but I cannot see this stated anywhere. Tom may be aware of additional information that I am not.

Thanks,  
Tommy

---

**From:** Edmund Ward  
**Sent:** 07 October 2014 11:56  
**To:** Tommy Moody  
**Cc:** Edmund Ward; Tom Crawford  
**Subject:** FW: Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

Hi Tommy  
As discussed, may be further concerns, I'm still holding these pending any update from your review of others in this batch.  
Thanks  
Edmund

---

**From:** Tom Crawford  
**Sent:** 03 October 2014 15:43  
**To:** Edmund Ward  
**Subject:** Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

Hi Edmund,  
You have these cases held at DA at the moment. Although I'm not totally convinced everything is as stated, all the applications for this  
Annotated by RHI Inquiry

site are now consistent so I don't think we have a reason to hold approval.

3 of the 6 are due to be audited, so any further discrepancies should come to light.

Thanks,

Tom

**Tom Crawford**

Assistant Manager, RHI Generation

Commercial

9 Millbank

London

SW1P 3GE

Tel:

[www.ofgem.gov.uk](http://www.ofgem.gov.uk)

**ofgem e-serve**