

To: Mary Smith[Mary.Smith@ofgem.gov.uk]
Cc: Keith Avis[Keith.Avis@ofgem.gov.uk]; Paul Heigl[Paul.Heigl@ofgem.gov.uk]; Marcus Porter[Marcus.Porter@ofgem.gov.uk]
From: Ruth Lancaster
Sent: 2012-10-24T14:24:03Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: NIRHI: Sitrep - Actions Over Next Couple of Days
Received: 2012-10-24T14:24:04Z

Mary

The only aspect of the feasibility study (DPA?) I have commented on previously are the figures for legal costs. As my comments on this have been completely disregarded (despite repeating them several times) I don't think there is any point in me commenting on it further do you?

I haven't read or commented on the rest of the document.

As I have pointed out to you and Keith previously by ignoring our comments on costs you have left yourself with little or no budget for legal input for the rest of this financial year. Also from what I understand of the budget for future years there is a budget of something in the region of £3.5k per F/Y. Note that this is unlikely to be sufficient even to cover a fraction of "business as usual" costs (i.e. in the absence of a change) so the change mechanism will not help you out here (particularly having seen the limited list of cost assumptions you have specified as the triggers for this).

If you send this document you do so at your own risk.

From: Mary Smith
Sent: 24 October 2012 14:55
To: Marcus Porter
Cc: Keith Avis; Ruth Lancaster; Paul Heigl
Subject: RE: NIRHI: Sitrep - Actions Over Next Couple of Days

Hi Marcus,

Please can you let me know if you/ Ruth had any comments on the DPA and cover letter? We're keen to issue these to DETI as soon as possible.

As you're probably aware, these have been shared in draft with DETI before so we would only be wanting to amend them if changes are absolutely vital - I'd also hope that as you've reviewed them previously there wouldn't be too many/ if any comments to add in.

Many thanks

Mary