

To: Teri Clifton[teri.clifton@ofgem.gov.uk]
Cc: Nicola Percival[Nicola.Percival@ofgem.gov.uk]
From: Amy Powell-Tuck
Sent: 2015-01-27T12:19:06Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: NI Audits
Received: 2015-01-27T12:19:07Z

Great,
 Thank you for the confirmation.
 Regards
 Amy

Amy Powell-Tuck

Senior Manager, Audit and Compliance
 New Scheme Development
 9 Millbank
 London
 SW1P 3GE
 Tel: 0203 263 9814
www.ofgem.gov.uk



From: Teri Clifton
Sent: 27 January 2015 12:18
To: Amy Powell-Tuck
Cc: Nicola Percival
Subject: RE: NI Audits

Hi Amy
 Please go ahead with the audits for NI as mentioned below
 Regards
 Teri

From: Teri Clifton
Sent: 22 January 2015 08:35
To: Amy Powell-Tuck
Cc: Nicola Percival
Subject: RE: NI Audits

Hi Amy
 I'm just looking at the budgets today for NI. There has been no increase in budget, but I think we are going to come within the original budget so should be able to accommodate them. I'll let you know once I've firmed up the figures –
 Regards
 Teri

From: Amy Powell-Tuck
Sent: 21 January 2015 16:52
To: Teri Clifton
Cc: Nicola Percival
Subject: NI Audits

Hi Teri,
 I mentioned I would send you through the final NI audits / costs we are looking to do.
 Bad news- we have 4 installations on one site (selected by Neil in the PD team for the reason below) that we were hoping to do but it seems the budget isn't quite enough. Is there any leeway to do these installations (i.e. any extra money?).
 FYI- I got the £14,000 from Wayne, I hope this is correct. I was under the impression it was meant to be 3% of the DECC budget but that went up in August and I'm not sure if the DETI one did?

NI Audits

Budget*	£	14,000
---------	---	--------

Invoiced Q1+2 (5 audits)	£	7,157
Audits completed Q3 (3)	£	3,298
Quotation for 4 audits for Q4	£	4,141
Variance	-£	631

Neil from PD raised the concern and said:

NI Chicken farm. Participant has submitted periodic data suggesting >100% of the theoretical max generation during a 3 month period. Participant has undergone an amendment and during this time has suggested the meter readings they had provided were incorrect. Therefore the explanation as to why the boiler has generated >100% of the theoretical maximum is due to the participant either deliberately submitting the incorrect readings or an issue was discovered which was fixed without informing us. The participant also has an additional three 99kWh boilers on the same site (different installations). Potential for this issue to occur again without any consequence.

What are your thoughts? We can always look to select other installations/ only do 3 of them.

Regards

Amy

Amy Powell-Tuck

Senior Manager, Audit and Compliance

New Scheme Development

9 Millbank

London

SW1P 3GE

Tel: 0203 263 9814

www.ofgem.gov.uk

ofgem e-serve