

To: Marcus Porter[Marcus.Porter@ofgem.gov.uk]
From: Paul Heigl
Sent: 2012-08-01T13:49:07Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: Guidance format options - 1 or 2 docs.docx
Received: 2012-08-01T13:49:00Z

Excellent work, thank you Marcus.

From: Marcus Porter
Sent: 01 August 2012 14:45
To: Paul Heigl; Keith Avis; Rita Chohan; William Elliott; Luis Castro
Subject: RE: Guidance format options - 1 or 2 docs.docx

Paul

Further copy attached showing suggested amendments.

Marcus

From: Paul Heigl
Sent: 01 August 2012 11:32
To: Keith Avis; Marcus Porter; Rita Chohan; William Elliott; Luis Castro
Subject: Guidance format options - 1 or 2 docs.docx

Dear all,

Please find attached the options paper that will be submitted to Matthew's surgery. The intention is to use these arguments to convince Matthew that we need to proceed with a standalone guidance doc for NI. It is a little unbalanced, mainly because I can't find many reasons to go with a combined document – either in the short, medium or long-term.

Essentially my Qs to you are:

1. Is it simply stated so that someone coming new to this would understand the issues?
2. Does it hang together as an argument? Could the arguments be stronger?
3. Are you convinced?

Apologies now for the ridiculously short timeframe, but this needs to be submitted by **2pm today**. If you have comments, please may you get them to me before then.

Thanks,

Paul