

To: Catherine McArthur[Catherine.McArthur@ofgem.gov.uk]
From: David Fletcher
Sent: 2012-01-10T09:11:11Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: FW: January 2012 NI RHI EPC MC paper.docx
Received: 2012-01-10T09:11:12Z
[NIpaper.docx](#)

.... and I thought we have covered off the legal risk of challenge!

David Fletcher

Senior Manager
New Schemes Development
9 Millbank
London
SW1P 3GE
Tel: 020 7901 3061
www.ofgem.gov.uk

From: Marcus Porter
Sent: 09 January 2012 17:55
To: David Fletcher
Cc: Ruth Lancaster; Elizabeth Hillman
Subject: FW: January 2012 NI RHI EPC MC paper.docx

David

Ruth Lancaster asked me to consider the above and I have done so. I attach a further copy of the paper, showing with track changes my suggested amendments and, in bold, my comments.

I must stress that my consideration of the paper has necessarily been rather rushed, given the short deadline for commenting on it ahead of tomorrow's MC meeting. Hence it's entirely possible that I may have missed something or covered issues less thoroughly than they ideally should be. It may also mean that further issues may need to be raised by Legal at the meeting itself.

Could I put in a plea that in future we are copied in on important documents of this sort sufficiently far in advance of when our input is required to enable us to provide considered and timely advice and, hopefully, avoid having to seek clarification during meetings in which the documents are discussed?

Regards

Marcus