

To: colette.archer@uk.pwc.com[colette.archer@uk.pwc.com]
Cc: natasha.fitzsimons@uk.pwc.com[natasha.fitzsimons@uk.pwc.com]; Jane Pierce[Jane.Pierce@ofgem.gov.uk]; Teri Clifton[teri.clifton@ofgem.gov.uk]
From: Edmund Ward
Sent: 2016-09-19T14:30:39Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: Independent input into the RHI review process [OFFICIAL]
Received: 2016-09-19T14:30:40Z

Colette

Further to the discussion this morning, I just wanted to give an initial update on the 'independent evidence' point.

There are over 1,250 installations with 'complex' metering arrangements and with a capacity of over 45kW.

As set out in guidance, in these conditions we generally expect an independent report on metering arrangements, which enables us to validate a number of items including whether the metering arrangements/eligibility are met on the ground, any discrepancies with schematics, and to provide an independent view on items which might have a material impact on eligibility.

We may, on a case by case basis waive the requirement for this to be provided in the first instance, but that is based on defined criteria and goes through a review.

We are happy to provide further evidence on this aspect as required.

Also as I mentioned on the call, as flagged when we provided the schematics to PwC to inform your site audits, the version provided was on the basis that this was the version provided by applicants and might not in all cases reflect the nature of multiple schematics/uploaded documents etc throughout the review process. We're happy to clarify the further scrutiny at the application stage, for any cases where a discrepancy has been flagged.

Kind regards

Edmund

[]