

To: Mary Smith[Mary.Smith@ofgem.gov.uk]
Cc: Jacqueline Balian[Jacqueline.Balian@ofgem.gov.uk]; Peter Rice[Peter.Rice@ofgem.gov.uk]; Lindsay Goater[Lindsay.Goater@ofgem.gov.uk]
From: Keith Avis
Sent: 2012-09-28T14:36:14Z
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: NIRHI Costs - new slide set: Additional Risk slide
Received: 2012-09-28T14:36:15Z

All

Further to sending the slide set around Lindsay has quite rightly flagged that we should be making know the risks around taking NI RHI cost at 11% of GB RHI. In this regard, I suggest an additional slide after slide two that would say:

"The 11% figure does not reflect a proportionate cut against all aspects of the operational process and thus carries some risks. These are specifically:

- a) There is likely to be a demand to concentrate on the 'baseline' activities (eg IT releases, engagement) which could impact on other parts of the operational process.
- b) If deliberately do less on guidance, and it needs work, it may not be fit for purpose, so risk on more enquiries, complaints and challenge.
- c) If do not engage as much generally, scheme generally likely to be less effective.
- d) Risk of representational damage as we will not be able to spend as much time with stakeholders as we would like."

Grateful if Lindsay/Jacqueline could let me know if this was the type of extra text that they would looking for.

Rgds
Keith

From: Keith Avis
Sent: 28 September 2012 15:11
To: Mary Smith
Cc: Jacqueline Balian; Peter Rice
Subject: NIRHI Costs - new slide set

Mary, Jacqueline cc: Peter

Here are the updated slides as they stand for you to consider sending to Matthew. Hopefully my understanding matches yours. Jacqueline – grateful if you could offer up a slide for slide 3, also grateful if you could confirm that the Corporate Plan costs used here are correct. I have included Peter in the email to make sure that he is happy with how this is moving forward.

Keith