

Received from J McBurney obo Richard Bullock on 15/03/17
Annotated by RHI Inquiry

iMessage with [Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry]
14/12/2016, 22:03

Sent to your email

Gmail I mean

15/12/2016, 09:12

have never been so mad in my life

I am close to it! Perhaps I can tell of the time Mr Christian swore and me, got so drunk me and his Ps carried him to hotel in NYC, tried to break my finger, swing a pinch at me, pinned a woman against the wall, threatened me with the police and a qc. Etc etc etc etc

15/12/2016, 14:22

No probs

15/12/2016, 22:51

This is lies. I remember Chris Stewart going to him it was about me! I had a row with Chris about it

They don't Arlene did very well I'm glad she exposed him for what he is

I'm furious by his lies

I was at meeting just before question time and he behaved abominably

I will do whatever to expose lies

I'm watching this again and he is saying Andrew and Chris Stewart will back him up. That cannot be right

Hear JB?

You could get a program next week!

T - will get back to u soon

The facts don't back up JB

He is derranged - I wasn't at meeting where row took place

No i'll forward txt from Amc

Chris has called with v different version of the conversation.

I've contacted Chris and him and him had a row that day I put him out of JB's office and then Andrew mediated peace between us and Chris then went back in to JB and said I'd done nothing wrong although he disagreed with what I did which was Andrews brokered peace

Chris has texted me saying we should put our recollections which are similar on the record. I'm happy with that

16/12/2016, 00:50:51

Is he not a fan of JB?

No I think he feels the same about him as me

17/12/2016, 14:14

IMG_4007.PNG

IMG_4008.PNG

Two pictures of a text exchange with TJ when my allegations of JB's bullying. It taken seriously by PR. I also have a contemporaneous medical note

Not taken seriously. Y PR

Very interesting - undoubtedly the party's response to this and the other complaints was totally inadequate

Presumably you don't want to go public about any of this even on an unnamed basis?

My view is JB needs to be exposed. I can't expose my part without putting boot into PR. Don't get me wrong I'm very happy to do that. But the more fuel we put on a fire worse it gets. You have those texts. I'm very much on my own. I have said to you Arlene and TJ that I will fit my story in with the party narrative and what is best for party. When it's right to release my story we can do that I am in party hands. Problem also with those texts is it puts spads running the show back to fore. I'm happy to release in everyone's hands around timing and also would need an assurance party will fully support me in any ensuing action

Your version is completely true so the party would have no difficulty in standing over it- if you want I can get formal approval from party officers?

I suppose it all rests on how things are drafted and how exactly it's done and with whom etc happy to chat about it

Michelle and Emma are writing short statements about their treatment for Sunday life to be used unnamed- is there any way you can do the same without it being obvious to the public who you are? John McBurney here so we can sort any legal issues if necessary

I'll have to pray about it! I'll try and draft something about it and get back to you in the next hour. I assume that any legal action is indemnified?

Yes I'm with party officers now and they have approved that your costs would be covered by the dup

Received from J McBurney obo Richard Dunick on 19:05:17
Annotated by RH Inquiry

17/12/2016, 16:53

I've sent two statements much happier with shorter one but I assume they want details I've sent a longer statement with two specific incidents one when he was JM and then the one in London - before release let me know what your doing

17/12/2016, 21:39

When you get a chance can I get a copy of these emails. I assume the one JB wants released is the email were Andrew challenges my assertion that he is being revisionist?

19/12/2016, 12:31

Issue re the documents appended to Andrews minute. Only the email not the email chain to appended. Originally the chain had been appended Andrew agreed only the specific email to be appended. I think from what I can remember that should be enforced for now

At some point I accused Andrew of. Wing revisionist

I'm missing something clearly

I don't see a comment form me in what you sent

I know that some of the email chains may not be helpful. It's difficult for me as I don't have access to documents. I guess I'm saying I helped Andrew draft that note that you sent I was happy with note obviously. We had discussion about the schedule. I objected to email chains being appended (they originally were) just the email. I can't remember what I'd said but it may not have been helpful! It's just I don't have my emails or documents so it's hard to remember. I guess I'm saying check as I recall a problem

No worries. I'm sure there is much more going on than this

Tajr will get back to u

Will call shortly

Just sent it

Sent 2 new emails

So we one give out his statement- not the associated comment from u?

There is a another note but don't think that is to be released now

No I don't have it

U want me to get it ?

Can I call back shortly?