

From: Andrew McCormick [mailto:Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry]
 Subject: RE: Statement
 Date: 17 December 2016 at 22:33
 To: Richard Bullick [mailto:Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry]



Very clear we had no email from her to Arlene – we had based everything on the reference that she had “spoken” to Arlene and never looked for any emails before the contact with officials. Will double double check when in Netherleigh. Maybe she hadn’t realized the significance until the press release on Wednesday.

From: Richard Bullick [mailto:Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry]
 Sent: 17 December, 2016 10:32 PM
 To: Andrew McCormick [mailto:Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry]
 Subject: Re: Statement

Probably not much to be gained by speaking to her again. I wonder did she give the new email to the PAC or did the department previously?

If she only gave it this week then not clear why she said there was only one email to AF.

In retrospect the dept not the dup should have issued the email but too late for that.

it just creates another mess to clear up and puts us back where we were last week before the first email turned up

R

On 17 Dec 2016, at 22:27, Andrew McCormick

[mailto:Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry] wrote:

Should I try to call her tomorrow or is it best left to take its course? If the paragraph from the Statement was cleared, I could talk her through that. I think she took offence at Nigel’s comments. And the non-redaction to an award may have been the clue that would have been obvious to people who know her.

Tricky...

From: Richard Bullick [mailto:Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry]
 Sent: 17 December, 2016 10:25 PM
 To: Andrew McCormick [mailto:Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry]
 Subject: Re: Statement

to Arlene