

**From:** Scott, Michelle  
**To:** [Brennan, Mike](#)  
**Cc:** [Morelli, Emer](#); [Millar, Angela \(DoF\)](#); [McGuinness, Jeff](#)  
**Subject:** RHI - Quick follow-up  
**Date:** 24 August 2016 12:08:00  
**Attachments:** [image001.gif](#)  
[FW RHI DEFICIT IN FUNDING.htm](#)

---

Mike

As mentioned, RHI was briefly raised at yesterday's DoF – Supply stocktake.

The attached information has just been received. This confirms that the forecast deficits have not moved since the NIAO report, however the response contains new information in respect of the projected potential savings from cost control measures. Clearly, this has not yet been consulted on and the scenario will therefore only be one of a range of options being considered within that process.

While I appreciate we cannot predict the outcome of the consultation exercise and therefore the unadjusted deficits must be assumed until that exercise is complete, this is useful context.

Michelle

---

**From:** Brankin, Bernie  
**Sent:** 24 August 2016 11:18  
**To:** Scott, Michelle  
**Cc:** McMurray, Stephen; Quail, Andrea; Millar, Angela (DoF)  
**Subject:** FW: RHI - Quick follow-up

Michelle

Please see latest assessment from Stuart (attached above) which is subject to the caveats he has set out.

Bernie

## **Bernie Brankin**

Financial Management  
Department for the Economy  
Adelaide House  
39-49 Adelaide Street  
Belfast, BT2 8FD  
Tel: 028 9025 7844 (ext: 57844)  
TextRelay: 18001 028 9025 7844  
Web: [www.economy-ni.gov.uk](http://www.economy-ni.gov.uk)

cid:image001.gif@01D1FDF6.AFE4BAC0



[NI Year of Food & Drink 2016](#)

**Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this e-mail?**

**From:** Scott, Michelle  
**Sent:** 23 August 2016 17:24  
**To:** Brankin, Bernie  
**Cc:** McMurray, Stephen; Millar, Angela (DoF)  
**Subject:** RHI - Quick follow-up

Bernie

We briefly discussed the RHI funding requirement over the budget period and, while I appreciate there are a number of uncertainties I just want to check the forecast assuming no significant drop outs from the scheme.

The following table is contained within the NIAO report

<b>Table 8:</b>	<b>17-18</b>	<b>18-19</b>	<b>19-20</b>	<b>20-21</b>	<b>Total</b>	
<b>Projected deficit in AME funding from 2016-17 to 2020-21 16-17</b>						
<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	
Total cost	50,700	51,700	54,800	55,700	56,600	269,500
AME allocation	18,300	22,300	25,700	28,900	34,300	129,500
<b>Deficit</b>	<b>(32,400)</b>	<b>(29,400)</b>	<b>(29,100)</b>	<b>(26,800)</b>	<b>(22,300)</b>	<b>(140,000)</b>

Would it be correct to assume this remains the latest position and it reflects the c.£2m increase you mentioned in 18/19?

Thanks

Michelle