

Summary of work to date

- biomass is generally the most cost effective technology given the current assumptions and sensitivities
 - important to consider options for securing reliable access to low cost biomass resource (domestic or imported)
 - very sensitive to future market for CHP
 - low cost is because subsidies are being received from other markets
- capital grants would need to be higher to deliver major growth
- bluntness of the RHI increases cost, but can deliver
 - structure of the RHI needs to address delivery risk associated with high cost and remove any distortions to choose less efficient options