DFE-305351

From: McCormick, Andrew (DFE)
To: Stewart, Chris (DFE)
Subject: Fw: RHI Note for the Record
Date: 17 December 2016 18:07:22

Attachments: RHI Note for the Record re 15 December 2016 Further Tracking.docx

Made a few consequential changes so better if you see this before I share it.

Many thanks.

NOTE FOR THE RECORD

RENEWABLE HEAT INCENTIVE (RHI) –INTERVIEW WITH FORMER MINISTER BELL, 15 DECEMBER 2016

- 1. This note refers to a matter raised by former Minister Jonathan Bell during the interview with Stephen Nolan, as described in the excerpt from the transcript of the programme (attached at annex A).
- 2. The context was that there had been a series of discussions arising from the DETI submissions on the urgent suspension of the RHI. The Minister had initially cleared advice (submission of 19 January) which would have led to an orthodox process of consultation, an opportunity for the Assembly ETI Committee to consider the proposed changes, followed by an Assembly Debate to approve the necessary Regulations. That submission would have led to the suspension taking effect just before the dissolution of the Assembly in March 2016.
- 3. Following discussions involving the Minister of Finance and the First Minister, a further submission was provided on 29 January, setting out three options for the process two of which involved omitting stages from the orthodox process to accelerate closure. Based on legal advice, the submission recommended the option which retained the orthodox procedure. Minister Bell cleared this at 16.21 on 29 January, agreeing the recommended option.
- 4. A further submission the same day provided a draft minute from Minister Bell to the Minister of Finance and Personnel, seeking the latter's views on a draft paper to seek the agreement of the First Minister and the deputy First Minister under the Urgent Procedure for decisions referred to the Executive. The letter to Minister Storey issued on 1 February.
- 5. The discussions at this stage were widened to include the dFM's team, for example one aspect of the proposal was discussed between Andrew McCormick and Aidan McAteer (as recorded in an email of 2 February).
- 6. On 3 February, DETI officials were told that the decision agreed by both sides in OFMDFM was the most urgent available option, which would leave out consultation on the proposals and also the conventional consideration of draft regulations by the Assembly Committee.
- 7. On 3 February 2016. I put a submission to Minister Bell, via his political advisor, Timothy Cairns. The subject matter of the submission, a copy of which is attached at Annex B, was the seeking of Executive approval to the proposed closure of the RHI schemes, by means of the 'urgent procedure', ie to take forward formally the approach that we knew had been agreed.

- 8. <u>In the original draft of the submission, the corresponding sentence in paragraph 1 read: 'However, *further to discussion with DFP and OFMdFM* (my emphasis), you have decided to proceed...without public consultation.'</u>
- 9. Paragraph 1 of the final version of the submission, as presented to Minister Bell, notes that he had previously agreed to close the schemes to new applicants, subject to the outcome of public consultation. It goes on to say that: 'following further consideration (my emphasis) you have decided to proceed...without public consultation.'
- 10. In the original draft of the submission, the corresponding sentence in paragraph 1 read: 'However, *further to discussion with DFP and OFMdFM* (my emphasis), you have decided to proceed...without public consultation.'
- 11. The revision of the draft was made_requested by <a href="mailto:me, at the request of the request of the request on the grounds that there had indeed been consultation with OFMdFM. However, Mr Cairns continued to press for the change to be made, and I agreed. I assumed that the request had been made at the behest of Minister Bell, or at least with his knowledge. <a href="mailto:Emailto:Emailto:mealth
- 12. The submission as finalised was wholly factual: the omission of the reference to the engagement with OFMdFM in no way altered the actions to be taken. A copy of the version showing the changes suggested by Timothy Cairns is attached as Annex B, and the final version as Annex C.
- 13. Some days later (almost certainly 10 February), I attended a meeting with Minister Bell, initially on a one to one basis. I did not seek a meeting with him as a whistleblower. The Minister asked why the submission of 4 February made no reference to discussion with OFMdFM. I explained that in finalising the draftsubmission, I had been revisedaccepted some changes requested by me at Mr Cairns' requestCairns. The Minister indicated that he had not had prior knowledge of this, and expressed concern that it had been done without his knowledge. I explained that I had assumed that he was aware of, or had requested the change.
- 14. Mr Cairns and the Permanent Secretary (Andrew McCormick) joined the meeting. Minister Bell asked Mr Cairns for an explanation of what had happened. After a brief exchange, Dr McCormick and I were asked to leave the meeting, to allow for a private discussion between the Minister and Mr Cairns.

- 15. Shortly thereafter, the Minister left to attend to another matter, and there was further discussion involving me, Dr McCormick and Mr Cairns. Mr Cairns expressed the view that I had misrepresented the position to the Minister. Through discussion (which was initially heated, but which ended amicably) agreement was reached that this was not the case. The Minister later returned and advised that he had dealt with the matter to his satisfaction elsewhere, and that it was closed.
- 16. This note has been seen and agreed by Andrew McCormick as a true record of the events insofar as he was involved.

CHRIS STEWART