

**From:** [Timothy Cairns](#)  
**To:** [Fullerton, Karen](#)  
**Cc:** [Cairns, Timothy](#); [Kerr, Sean](#); [McCormick, Andrew \(DFE\)](#); [Stewart, Chris \(DFE\)](#); [Rooney, Eugene](#); [DG\\_DET](#)  
[Press Office](#)  
**Subject:** Re: For clearance - RHI  
**Date:** 10 February 2016 19:33:26

---

Should we take out the "as previously stated" para to keep this focused on the new date and the fraud?

I think it may be superfluous but I don't mind either way

Also I'd take out the officials are looking at new scheme let's keep that under wraps for now to avoid any heightened expectation!

Tim

Sent from my iPhone

On 10 Feb 2016, at 17:32, Fullerton, Karen <[karen.fullerton@detini.gov.uk](mailto:karen.fullerton@detini.gov.uk)> wrote:

Tim,  
Grateful for clearance on the attached. If content, would like to issue tomorrow morning.  
Many thanks,  
Karen