

From: [McCormick, Andrew \(DFE\)](#)
To: [Simon Hamilton](#)
Cc: [John Robinson](#); [Tweedie, Siobhan](#); [DFE SpAd](#); [Gaughran, Aine](#); [McCann, Brendan](#); [McMurray, Stephen](#); [Marten, Lucy](#); [Murphy, Shane](#); [Montgomery, Paul](#)
Subject: RHI Moy Park
Date: 14 January 2017 20:26:31

Just took a call from Moy Park.

Their only real point (as in the meeting they had with Lucy and me) was to argue that because the pre November applicants had invested in 99Kw boilers and the ceiling was raised to 199kw in November 15, the 6.5 tariff should run for double the 1314 hours. We had discussed this in the team and felt that as those people have had a year or so at the very generous tariff even if the new regime is a bit tight (and I'm not saying we need actually accept that premise) that is only for one year and hence the two years could be seen to balance each other out.

Clearly lots of calls happening and limited grass of what we are doing.

Happy to discuss.

Many thanks.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: [McMurray, Stephen](#)
To: [McCormick, Andrew \(DFE\)](#)
Cc: [McCann, Brendan](#); [Marten, Lucy](#); [Murphy, Shane](#); [Montgomery, Paul](#); [Dukelow, Victor](#); [Coyne, Terence](#)
Subject: Re: RHI Moy Park
Date: 14 January 2017 20:32:33

Thanks Andrew, only point is that a lot of applicants seem to have known at the time that 99kw was not the most efficient way to do it but still went ahead anyway.

Stephen

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: McCormick, Andrew (DFE)
Sent: Saturday, 14 January 2017 20:26
To: Simon Hamilton
Cc: John Robinson; Tweedie, Siobhan; DfE SpAd; Gaughran, Aine; McCann, Brendan; McMurray, Stephen; Marten, Lucy; Murphy, Shane; Montgomery, Paul
Subject: RHI Moy Park

Just took a call from Moy Park.

Their only real point (as in the meeting they had with Lucy and me) was to argue that because the pre November applicants had invested in 99Kw boilers and the ceiling was raised to 199kw in November 15, the 6.5 tariff should run for double the 1314 hours. We had discussed this in the team and felt that as those people have had a year or so at the very generous tariff even if the new regime is a bit tight (and I'm not saying we need actually accept that premise) that is only for one year and hence the two years could be seen to balance each other out.

Clearly lots of calls happening and limited grass of what we are doing.

Happy to discuss.

Many thanks.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: [McCormick, Andrew \(DFE\)](#)
To: [Murphy, Shane](#); [Simon Hamilton](#)
Cc: [John Robinson](#); [Tweedie, Siobhan](#); [DfE SpAd](#); [Gaughran, Aine](#); [McCann, Brendan](#); [McMurray, Stephen](#); [Marten, Lucy](#); [Montgomery, Paul](#)
Subject: Re: RHI Moy Park
Date: 14 January 2017 20:38:08
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Many thanks - don't worry wasn't contemplating it.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Murphy, Shane
Sent: Saturday, 14 January 2017 20:36
To: McCormick, Andrew (DFE); Simon Hamilton
Cc: John Robinson; Tweedie, Siobhan; DfE SpAd; Gaughran, Aine; McCann, Brendan; McMurray, Stephen; Marten, Lucy; Montgomery, Paul
Subject: RE: RHI Moy Park

Andrew,

If you doubled the tier to 2628hrs and gave 6.5p up to the “new” 2628 tier (which would be a 30% Load Factor) you would be delivering rates of return of **circa 30% plus for a 99kW boiler** (before even taking account of past excessive payments etc.).

Shane

Shane Murphy

Analytical Services

Department for the Economy

Adelaide House

39-49 Adelaide Street

Belfast, BT2 8FD

Tel: 028 9041 6951 (ext: 26951)

Mob: Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

TextRelay: 18001 028 9041 6951

Web: www.economy-ni.gov.uk



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this e-mail?

From: McCormick, Andrew (DFE)
Sent: 14 January 2017 20:27
To: Simon Hamilton
Cc: John Robinson; Tweedie, Siobhan; DfE SpAd; Gaughran, Aine; McCann, Brendan; McMurray, Stephen; Marten, Lucy; Murphy, Shane; Montgomery, Paul
Subject: RHI Moy Park

Just took a call from Moy Park.

Their only real point (as in the meeting they had with Lucy and me) was to argue that because the pre November applicants had invested in 99Kw boilers and the ceiling was raised to 199kw in November 15, the 6.5 tariff should run for double the 1314 hours. We had discussed this in the team and felt that as those people have had a year or so at the

very generous tariff even if the new regime is a bit tight (and I'm not saying we need actually accept that premise) that is only for one year and hence the two years could be seen to balance each other out.

Clearly lots of calls happening and limited grass of what we are doing.

Happy to discuss.

Many thanks.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.