

From: [Wightman, Stuart](#)
To: [Cooper, Trevor](#); [Brankin, Bernie](#)
Cc: [Thompson, Sandra](#); [Mills, John \(DETI\)](#); [Rooney, Eugene](#); [Stewart, Chris \(DETI\)](#); [Smith, Alan](#)
Subject: RE: RHI
Date: 30 June 2015 13:37:48

Trevor, we'll see what we do. We might be able to use figures put together for previous AME forecasts but this will take some time – hopefully thu.

Stuart

From: Cooper, Trevor
Sent: 30 June 2015 12:42
To: Wightman, Stuart; Brankin, Bernie
Cc: Thompson, Sandra; Mills, John (DETI); Rooney, Eugene; Stewart, Chris (DETI)
Subject: RE: RHI

Stuart

The business case for the domestic scheme in terms of any assessment of Value for Money would have to have had an assumption around uptake and cost with a forward profile in order to analyse NPV/NPC.

Have you spoken to Alan who i believe advised on this case – and any consultants who may have been involved. Also Dan Sinton was i believe involved at this time so it would be helpful to involve him also.

Trevor

From: Wightman, Stuart
Sent: 30 June 2015 09:38
To: Brankin, Bernie
Cc: Cooper, Trevor; Thompson, Sandra; Mills, John (DETI)
Subject: RE: RHI

Hi Bernie

Unfortunately the economic assessment behind the business cases(s) for both RHI schemes didn't provide a split of estimated domestic and non-domestic expenditure. The Business Case for both schemes assumed a profile of 2/4/7/12 for the original £25m for 2011-15 period with the funding increasing by £5m annually - £17m(15/16), £22m (16/17), £27m (17/18), £32m (18/19), £37m (19/20), £42m (20/21).

I understand that the profile in the Final Synopsis for Casework (for the Domestic RHI Business Case) was taken from the AME forecast at that time which didn't have any split between non-domestic and domestic. It would appear that the three way split between non-domestic resource / domestic resource / domestic capital only appears in more recent forecasts – i.e. after we've had a chance to review scheme performance.

I suggest we use our most recent forecast of domestic RHI expenditure (Sandra's email yesterday) if we trying to separate and distinguish the domestic RHI scheme approval from the non-domestic.

Happy to discuss.

Thanks, Stuart

From: Brankin, Bernie
Sent: 29 June 2015 17:48
To: Wightman, Stuart
Cc: Cooper, Trevor; Thompson, Sandra
Subject: RHI

Stuart

The Domestic RHI Business Case sought approvals on an estimated joint requirement for Domestic and Non Domestic RHI of £6.35M 2014/15, £9.5M 2015/16, £13.5M 2016/17, £18.5M 2017/18, £24.5M 2018/19 and £31.0M 2019/20 (Total £103.35M). Can you break down each year's estimate requirement into:

	2014-15 £M	2015-16 £M	2016-17 £M	2017-18 £M	2018-19 £M	2019-20 £M
Non Domestic RHI Resource AME						
Domestic RHI Resource AME						
Total RHI Resource AME requirements						
Domestic RHI Capital AME						
Total forecast RHI AME Requirements in Sept 2014	6.35	9.5	13.5	18.5	24.5	31.0

Then can you compare to current estimate of requirements in the same format:

	2014-15 £M	2015-16 £M	2016-17 £M	2017-18 £M	2018-19 £M	2019-20 £M	2020-21 £M
Non Domestic RHI Resource AME							
Domestic RHI Resource AME							
Total RHI Resource AME requirements							
Domestic RHI Capital AME							
Total forecast RHI AME Requirements at June 2015							

Thanks

Bernie

Bernie Brankin

Finance Branch

Department of Enterprise, Trade & Investment

Netherleigh

Massey Avenue

Belfast, BT4 2JP

Tel: 028 9052 9417 (ext: 29417)

TextRelay: 18001 028 9052 9417

Web: www.detini.gov.uk