

From: [Montgomery, Paul](#)
To: [Moore, Stephen \(DfE\)](#)
Cc: [McMurray, Stephen](#)
Subject: FW: RHI Options discussion
Date: 05 January 2017 17:26:20

Stephen

As discussed, grateful for advice on the best form of response to DoF.

Paul

From: McMurray, Stephen
Sent: 04 January 2017 17:30
To: Montgomery, Paul
Subject: FW: RHI Options discussion

Sent with Good Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: McClure, Brian <Brian.McClure@finance-ni.gov.uk>
Date: Thursday, 22 Dec 2016, 2:05 pm
To: Scott, Michelle <Michelle.Scott@finance-ni.gov.uk>, McMurray, Stephen <Stephen.McMurray@economy-ni.gov.uk>
Cc: Brennan, Mike <Mike.Brennan@finance-ni.gov.uk>, Morelli, Emer <Emer.Morelli@finance-ni.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: RHI Options discussion

Thanks Michelle

My tel no Stephen is Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

I am on leave but will take a call.

Brian

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Scott, Michelle
Sent: Thursday, 22 December 2016 13:06
To: McMurray, Stephen
Cc: Brennan, Mike; Morelli, Emer; McClure, Brian
Subject: RHI Options discussion

Stephen

As part of a broader discussion on the various options open to DfE regarding the future operation of the RHI scheme Brian McClure, head of rating policy division, has made the comments below, which he sees as a potential interim measure:

'Why doesn't DfE write to all scheme participants now?

Advise them that “the Department, DfE, is under an obligation to notify you that the scheme is flawed. Providing a subsidy for production of useless energy contravenes EU Environmental Aid Guidelines, which means the scheme is non compliant with State Aid rules. As such the UK Government, as Member State, is at serious risk of infraction which would place a requirement on the Government to recover excessive payments made under the scheme to date from recipients.”

The NI Executive, however is prepared to allow you to withdraw you from the scheme now and cover the reasonable costs incurred by you (less any betterment?) over a 5 year period (or whatever).

This will of course be a voluntary thing but those that choose to ignore it carry the risk. It should also serve to moderate consumption of useless energy’.

It has also been commented that the suggested communication to scheme participants might be combined with notification of imminent inspections of all successful applicants? (reinforces the point that change is inevitable one way or another).

Clearly, you will be best placed to consider the viability of this suggestion in the context of your legal, economic and state aid advice, and against the other options which you are currently considering as part of the business case process. In the event this option was taken forward, the wording of any correspondence to scheme participants along the lines above would need to be reviewed by legal experts to ensure that there is no prejudicial impact on the implementation of any option going forward and to minimise the risk of legal challenge.

Clearly your ongoing engagement with the EU over State Aid compliance is key to the consideration of any options.

Brian McClure has indicated he is content to discuss his views in more detail if that would be helpful, and I have copied him into this email.

Kind regards

Michelle

From: Moore, Stephen (DFE)
To: [Montgomery, Paul](#)
Cc: [McMurray, Stephen](#); [McCormick, Andrew \(DFE\)](#); [Murphy, Shane](#)
Subject: RHI Options discussion - Brian McClure's suggestion
Date: 05 January 2017 18:03:00
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Paul

As discussed. Whilst it may seem that the RHI scheme is not operating in accordance with the terms and conditions of the State aid decision that gave the EC's formal approval for the scheme, this is not the Commission's view.

In order to do as Brian has suggested, the Commission would first have to undertake a formal investigation and adopt a negative decision, which would require the UK to recover a specific amount of aid from those beneficiaries that have been overcompensated.

However, rather than take this line, the Commission seems prepared to adopt a new revised State aid decision that would give its approval for reduced tariffs.

Furthermore, at a meeting with the Commission on 7th December, Celine Gauer, Director of the Energy and Environmental aid Division in DG Comp, specifically suggested that we should not make an argument that the scheme was in breach of the State aid regulations.

Happy to discuss further as necessary.

Stephen

Stephen Moore

State Aid Unit
Department for the Economy
Netherleigh
Massey Avenue
Belfast, BT4 2JP

Tel: 028 9052 9415 (ext: 29415)

Mob: Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

TextRelay: 18001 028 9052 9415

Web: www.economy-ni.gov.uk



NEW - 'State aid: A Beginner's Guide for Public Bodies in Northern Ireland' can now be accessed through the DFE Internet site: <https://www.economy-ni.gov.uk/publications/state-aid-beginners-guide-public-bodies-northern-ireland>

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this e-mail?

From: Montgomery, Paul
Sent: 05 January 2017 17:26
To: Moore, Stephen (DfE)
Cc: McMurray, Stephen

Subject: FW: RHI Options discussion

Stephen

As discussed, grateful for advice on the best form of response to DoF.

Paul

From: McMurray, Stephen
Sent: 04 January 2017 17:30
To: Montgomery, Paul
Subject: FW: RHI Options discussion

Sent with Good Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: McClure, Brian <Brian.McClure@finance-ni.gov.uk>
Date: Thursday, 22 Dec 2016, 2:05 pm
To: Scott, Michelle <Michelle.Scott@finance-ni.gov.uk>, McMurray, Stephen <Stephen.McMurray@economy-ni.gov.uk>
Cc: Brennan, Mike <Mike.Brennan@finance-ni.gov.uk>, Morelli, Emer <Emer.Morelli@finance-ni.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: RHI Options discussion

Thanks Michelle

My tel no Stephen is  Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

I am on leave but will take a call.

Brian

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Scott, Michelle
Sent: Thursday, 22 December 2016 13:06
To: McMurray, Stephen
Cc: Brennan, Mike; Morelli, Emer; McClure, Brian
Subject: RHI Options discussion

Stephen

As part of a broader discussion on the various options open to DfE regarding the future operation of the RHI scheme Brian McClure, head of rating policy division, has made the comments below, which he sees as a potential interim measure:

'Why doesn't DfE write to all scheme participants now?

Advise them that *"the Department, DfE, is under an obligation to notify you that the scheme is flawed. Providing a subsidy for production of useless energy contravenes EU Environmental Aid Guidelines, which means the scheme is non compliant with*

State Aid rules. As such the UK Government, as Member State, is at serious risk of infraction which would place a requirement on the Government to recover excessive payments made under the scheme to date from recipients.”

The NI Executive, however is prepared to allow you to withdraw you from the scheme now and cover the reasonable costs incurred by you (less any betterment?) over a 5 year period (or whatever).

This will of course be a voluntary thing but those that choose to ignore it carry the risk. It should also serve to moderate consumption of useless energy’.

It has also been commented that the suggested communication to scheme participants might be combined with notification of imminent inspections of all successful applicants? (reinforces the point that change is inevitable one way or another).

Clearly, you will be best placed to consider the viability of this suggestion in the context of your legal, economic and state aid advice, and against the other options which you are currently considering as part of the business case process. In the event this option was taken forward, the wording of any correspondence to scheme participants along the lines above would need to be reviewed by legal experts to ensure that there is no prejudicial impact on the implementation of any option going forward and to minimise the risk of legal challenge.

Clearly your ongoing engagement with the EU over State Aid compliance is key to the consideration of any options.

Brian McClure has indicated he is content to discuss his views in more detail if that would be helpful, and I have copied him into this email.

Kind regards

Michelle

From: Moore, Stephen (DFE)
To: [Montgomery, Paul](#)
Cc: [McMurray, Stephen](#); [McCormick, Andrew \(DFE\)](#); [Murphy, Shane](#)
Subject: RHI Options discussion - Brian McClure's suggestion
Date: 05 January 2017 18:03:00
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Paul

As discussed. Whilst it may seem that the RHI scheme is not operating in accordance with the terms and conditions of the State aid decision that gave the EC's formal approval for the scheme, this is not the Commission's view.

In order to do as Brian has suggested, the Commission would first have to undertake a formal investigation and adopt a negative decision, which would require the UK to recover a specific amount of aid from those beneficiaries that have been overcompensated.

However, rather than take this line, the Commission seems prepared to adopt a new revised State aid decision that would give its approval for reduced tariffs.

Furthermore, at a meeting with the Commission on 7th December, Celine Gauer, Director of the Energy and Environmental aid Division in DG Comp, specifically suggested that we should not make an argument that the scheme was in breach of the State aid regulations.

Happy to discuss further as necessary.

Stephen

Stephen Moore


State Aid Unit
Department for the Economy
Netherleigh
Massey Avenue
Belfast, BT4 2JP

Tel: 028 9052 9415 (ext: 29415)

Mob: Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

TextRelay: 18001 028 9052 9415

Web: www.economy-ni.gov.uk



NEW - 'State aid: A Beginner's Guide for Public Bodies in Northern Ireland' can now be accessed through the DFE Internet site: <https://www.economy-ni.gov.uk/publications/state-aid-beginners-guide-public-bodies-northern-ireland>

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this e-mail?

From: Montgomery, Paul
Sent: 05 January 2017 17:26
To: Moore, Stephen (DfE)
Cc: McMurray, Stephen

Subject: FW: RHI Options discussion

Stephen

As discussed, grateful for advice on the best form of response to DoF.

Paul

From: McMurray, Stephen
Sent: 04 January 2017 17:30
To: Montgomery, Paul
Subject: FW: RHI Options discussion

Sent with Good Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: McClure, Brian <Brian.McClure@finance-ni.gov.uk>
Date: Thursday, 22 Dec 2016, 2:05 pm
To: Scott, Michelle <Michelle.Scott@finance-ni.gov.uk>, McMurray, Stephen <Stephen.McMurray@economy-ni.gov.uk>
Cc: Brennan, Mike <Mike.Brennan@finance-ni.gov.uk>, Morelli, Emer <Emer.Morelli@finance-ni.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: RHI Options discussion

Thanks Michelle

My tel no Stephen is

Personal information redacted
by the RHI Inquiry

I am on leave but will take a call.

Brian

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Scott, Michelle
Sent: Thursday, 22 December 2016 13:06
To: McMurray, Stephen
Cc: Brennan, Mike; Morelli, Emer; McClure, Brian
Subject: RHI Options discussion

Stephen

As part of a broader discussion on the various options open to DfE regarding the future operation of the RHI scheme Brian McClure, head of rating policy division, has made the comments below, which he sees as a potential interim measure:

'Why doesn't DfE write to all scheme participants now?

Advise them that *"the Department, DfE, is under an obligation to notify you that the scheme is flawed. Providing a subsidy for production of useless energy contravenes EU Environmental Aid Guidelines, which means the scheme is non compliant with*

State Aid rules. As such the UK Government, as Member State, is at serious risk of infraction which would place a requirement on the Government to recover excessive payments made under the scheme to date from recipients.”

The NI Executive, however is prepared to allow you to withdraw you from the scheme now and cover the reasonable costs incurred by you (less any betterment?) over a 5 year period (or whatever).

This will of course be a voluntary thing but those that choose to ignore it carry the risk. It should also serve to moderate consumption of useless energy’.

It has also been commented that the suggested communication to scheme participants might be combined with notification of imminent inspections of all successful applicants? (reinforces the point that change is inevitable one way or another).

Clearly, you will be best placed to consider the viability of this suggestion in the context of your legal, economic and state aid advice, and against the other options which you are currently considering as part of the business case process. In the event this option was taken forward, the wording of any correspondence to scheme participants along the lines above would need to be reviewed by legal experts to ensure that there is no prejudicial impact on the implementation of any option going forward and to minimise the risk of legal challenge.

Clearly your ongoing engagement with the EU over State Aid compliance is key to the consideration of any options.

Brian McClure has indicated he is content to discuss his views in more detail if that would be helpful, and I have copied him into this email.

Kind regards

Michelle