

From: [McFarlane, Iain](#)
To: [Linton, Rachel](#)
Subject: FW: Treasury Position on RHI
Date: 08 December 2015 08:54:01

From: Rooney, Eugene
Sent: 07 December 2015 17:49
To: Cooper, Trevor
Cc: McCormick, Andrew (DETI); Stewart, Chris (DETI); Mills, John (DETI); Brankin, Bernie; Wightman, Stuart; McFarlane, Iain
Subject: RE: Treasury Position on RHI

Trevor

We discussed. We need to offer DFP urgently lines to take on the RHI funding position for their meeting with Treasury. You have requested to attend the meeting with Treasury.

At the Audit Committee last week Stuart advised that DECC was being contacted to establish the RHI position for GB following the Spending Review. We need to have urgent confirmation of the DECC position in terms of funding methodology agreed for the coming years and the policy position being pursued.

I am trying to have a word with Mike or Joanne.

Eugene

From: Cooper, Trevor
Sent: 07 December 2015 17:06
To: Rooney, Eugene
Cc: McCormick, Andrew (DETI); Stewart, Chris (DETI); Mills, John (DETI); Brankin, Bernie; Wightman, Stuart; McFarlane, Iain
Subject: Treasury Position on RHI
Importance: High

Eugene

Further to our earlier conversation on RHI, DFP has now informed me that they expect to receive Chief Secretary letter tomorrow which will amongst other issues cover RHI.

As regards budget for RHI they anticipate that NI will receive notification of a capped AME amount of £18.3M in 2016-17, £22.3M in 2017-18, £25.7M in 2018-19 and £28.9M in 2019-20. Any difference between actual spend in any of these years and the capped AME amount would in terms of the position presented fall as a call on NI Block Resource DEL.

Michelle is participating in a meeting with Treasury tomorrow pm to see if the position can be changed in any way. She is going to discuss further tomorrow arguments that may be deployed.

As things stand Energy colleagues are currently forecasting spend under both schemes would total £44.2M in 2016-17 (assuming steps taken immediately around closure of both schemes, and numbers do not take account of any further spike in demand that may result from

announcements that each of the Domestic and Non-Domestic Schemes will be closed). Should the Treasury position on funding not change this would leave an inescapable resource pressure of at least £25.9M from commitments on RHI in 2016-17.

Trevor