

**From:** [McCormick, Andrew \(DETI\)](#)  
**To:** [Stewart, Chris \(DETI\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Mills, John \(DETI\)](#); [Rooney, Eugene](#); [Wightman, Stuart](#); [McFarlane, Iain](#); [Brankin, Bernie](#); [Murphy, Shane](#); [Hill, Janice](#); [Cooper, Trevor](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Renewable Heat Incentive - GB Review outcome to be announced in Spending Review  
**Date:** 09 October 2015 13:31:12  
**Importance:** High

---

Grateful for advice on implications. Many thanks.

---

**From:** Cooper, Trevor  
**Sent:** 09 October 2015 12:57  
**To:** McCormick, Andrew (DETI); Stewart, Chris (DETI); Mills, John (DETI); Wightman, Stuart; McFarlane, Iain; Brankin, Bernie; Murphy, Shane  
**Subject:** Renewable Heat Incentive - GB Review outcome to be announced in Spending Review  
**Importance:** High

All for info:-

DFP has informed me this morning that in relation to an AME forward forecasting exercise we should not forecast any new AME commitments or offers post March 2016 from the RHI scheme.

Apparantly the outcome of a current review of the Scheme will be announced in the Spending Review. Reading between the lines it would appear that there will be either no or very much reduced funding to scheme for any new offers beyond this financial year.

Trevor

**From:** [Scott, Michelle](#)  
**To:** [Ferris, Paul](#); [Cooper, Trevor](#); [McFarlane, Iain](#)  
**Cc:** [Morelli, Emer](#); [Millar, Angela \(DFP\)](#); [Benton, Sarah](#); [Crockford, Francis](#); [Partridge, Jeff](#); [Brankin, Bernie](#); [Crockford, Francis](#); [Millican, Mark](#)  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT - RHI AME equirements  
**Date:** 09 October 2015 13:55:02

---

Paul

Many thanks for coming back to us so quickly. I have forwarded this through to CED for onward transmission.

Thanks again

Michelle

---

**From:** Ferris, Paul  
**Sent:** 09 October 2015 13:08  
**To:** Scott, Michelle; Cooper, Trevor; McFarlane, Iain  
**Cc:** Morelli, Emer; Millar, Angela (DFP); Benton, Sarah; Crockford, Francis; Partridge, Jeff; Brankin, Bernie; Crockford, Francis; Millican, Mark  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT - RHI AME equirements

Michelle,

I have attached a new copy of the DETI AME return. I have removed all RHI AME elements for proposed new installations beyond 31 March 2016, with the forecast now reflecting existing commitments only.

Regards

Paul.

## Paul Ferris

Finance Branch

Department of Enterprise, Trade & Investment

Netherleigh

Massey Avenue

Belfast, BT4 2JP

Tel: 028 9052 9296 (ext: 29296)

TextRelay: 18001 028 9052 9296

Web: [www.detini.gov.uk](http://www.detini.gov.uk)

## Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this e-mail?

---

**From:** Scott, Michelle  
**Sent:** 09 October 2015 11:16  
**To:** Cooper, Trevor; Crockford, Francis; McFarlane, Iain; Ferris, Paul; Martinos, Aideen  
**Cc:** Morelli, Emer; Millar, Angela (DFP); Benton, Sarah  
**Subject:** URGENT - RHI AME equirements

Trevor

As you are aware CED has been in discussion with HMT on the future scope of the RHI scheme and specifically what should be incorporated into the AME forecast currently being provided.

From our initial engagement with HMT it is clear the ongoing commitment associated with this initiative has been greater than expected – both here and across the water. The intentions for the scheme going forward, and specifically the plans for any new investment (for new installations) post 2015-16, will be determined as part of the Spending Review (to be announced on 25 November).

In view of this the AME forecast being provided **today to HMT should not include provision for any new installation beyond that currently announced** i.e. up until 31 March 2016 for both elements of the RHI. For 2016-17 and beyond the forecast should only show the ongoing commitment in relation to payments of tariffs on renewable technology already installed (i.e. up to 31 March 2016).

I would therefore be grateful if any element of the forecast currently provided which

relates to new installations in 2016-17 and beyond could be removed from the forecast. This is required urgently but will hopefully not cause too much of a problem. There are clearly broader implications of this for the RHI as implemented by DETI which we will need to discuss – however I would be grateful if the team could review the AME return as outlined above urgently and this morning.

Many thanks

Michelle