

From: [Murphy, Shane](#)
To: [Cooper, Trevor](#)
Subject: RE: Business Case - RHI Extension
Date: 07 October 2015 21:28:47

Trevor,

I have only had a quick read but, to be fair, I think a lot of effort and analysis has gone into this, but much will still depend how high DFP choose to set the bar and how “nit picky” they wish to be.

1) Would badge this document as an Addendum to the RHI Business Cases (just stick a cover on it with words to this effect on it). This should help condition DFP expectations towards focussing in on the key issues that have changed from the original case rather than covering old ground and those aspects of the “full ten steps” that are not really at play here. Its presentational but it might just stop some economist in DFP saying where are all the 10 steps of appraisal.

2) Think we have given ourselves a fighting chance on the NON Dom RHI, and there is enough for DFP to “hang their hat on” if they want (or are motivated) to find a way out of this situation. That said if they take a different view then I doubt there will ever be enough to force them to retrospectively approve.

3) Have only had a quick read, and so might not have absorbed everything, but first reaction was that I’m still a bit iffy on the Dom RHI. For example I’m still not really sure the case sets out why the DOM cost has gone up – are applications higher than the business case (more demand), or its the cost for each unit of demand higher, is it a combination of both or were the original numbers simply never deliverable within the approved figure? Depending on which of these ills you are addressing you might go about the case differently – but I’m not all that clear what has driven the DOM cost increase. Perhaps another read might clarify.

4) Finally time is ticking by and I suspect the need to get something to DFP soon will become increasingly critical if we are to keep the retrospective element contained to the first six months of the year.

Shane

Shane Murphy

Analytical Services Unit

Department of Enterprise, Trade & Investment

Netherleigh

Massey Avenue

Belfast, BT4 2JP

Tel: 028 9052 9250 (ext: 29250)

Mob: Personal information redacted by the RHI Inquiry

TextRelay: 18001 028 9052 9250

Web: www.detini.gov.uk

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this e-mail?

From: Cooper, Trevor
Sent: 06 October 2015 09:50
To: Murphy, Shane
Subject: FW: Business Case - RHI Extension

Shane

See attached – welcome your views.

Trevor

From: McCoy, Laura **On Behalf Of** Mills, John (DETI)
Sent: 05 October 2015 17:38
To: Cooper, Trevor
Cc: Brankin, Bernie; Wightman, Stuart
Subject: Business Case - RHI Extension

Trevor

To see what we propose to send to DFP. Could we discuss before issue?

<< File: RHI Business Case - Version 2 (300915).tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annex A - CEPA and AEA RHI Report 2011.tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annex B Original 2012 Business Case.tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annex C - CEPA Phase 2 Report 2013.tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annex D Phase 2 Consultation Document (2013).tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annexe E - DSO Advice on scheme suspension.tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annex F Non Dom RHI VFM Analysis.tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annex G Domestic RHI VFM Analysis.tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annexe H DARD paper on poultry shed heating requirements.tr5 >> << File: RHI Business Case - Annex I Non Domestic Expenditure Forecast.tr5 >>