

**From:** [Rooney, Eugene](#)  
**To:** [Mills, John \(DETI\)](#); [Wightman, Stuart](#); [Cooper, Trevor](#)  
**Cc:** [Rooney, Eugene](#); [Murphy, Shane](#); [McFarlane, Iain](#); [Brankin, Bernie](#); [McCormick, Andrew \(DETI\)](#); [Stewart, Chris \(DETI\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Non-Domestic RHI  
**Date:** 19 June 2015 11:54:18  
**Attachments:** [Memo from Trevor Cooper to Michelle Scott - Non-domestic RHI - approvals \(2\).docx](#)

---

Can I ask about the position on this draft minute? I haven't seen any responses to it but I may not have been copied. As discussed on Wednesday we need to use this note to seek approval now and include actions we propose to take and any assurances we can give at this point.

Eugene

---

**From:** Cooper, Trevor  
**Sent:** 16 June 2015 13:55  
**To:** Mills, John (DETI); Wightman, Stuart  
**Cc:** Rooney, Eugene; Murphy, Shane; McFarlane, Iain; Brankin, Bernie  
**Subject:** Non-Domestic RHI

John, Stuart

At meeting with DFP ref approvals for RHI it was confirmed that best approach around initial formal contact ref approvals would be note which set out position and proactive actions dept is taking in terms of exploration of options to minimise additional commitments during period within which approval is being sought plus period during which tariffs cannot be amended.

I have drafted note which provides assurance DFP wanted in note around exploration of legal position around suspension of scheme, legal position around slowing down accreditation, action on suspension of promotion of scheme, and an affirmation that appropriate approvals are in place for all other projects/programmes on which involve spend/forward commitments to spend.

Can you confirm that these actions are accurately described and also affirm the position around other approvals.

Trevor