

From: [Wightman, Stuart](#)
To: [Clydesdale, Alison](#)
Cc: [Marten, Lucy](#)
Subject: FW: NDRHI Review - Deloitte scope [OFFICIAL]
Date: 14 June 2016 12:55:44
Attachments: [FY17-04 - Non-Domestic NIRHI \(Phase 2\) - Draft Scope - 01.06.2016.pdf](#)

Alison

Edmund wants to arrange a call between yourself and Gareth.

Stuart

From: Edmund Ward [mailto:Edmund.Ward@ofgem.gov.uk]
Sent: 14 June 2016 12:53
To: Wightman, Stuart
Subject: NDRHI Review - Deloitte scope [OFFICIAL]

Stuart,

To summarise the initial views I expressed during our discussion today, further to our meeting yesterday:

- The *draft* Deloitte scope for Phase 2 (attached) represents my best view on what we could confirm could be delivered at phase 2, under the current framework we have with Deloitte.
- I noted that this doesn't rule out possible extensions, and indeed we'd been engaging on a number of areas with Deloitte that might be possible under our current framework. However, based on the increased scope as suggested by the "Key Issues for Ofgem" document you forwarded yesterday, I wouldn't be confident that we could address all those in line with the approach for which we agreed terms of reference in March.
- In the absence of any review outcomes and specific allegations, and in the absence of any revisions to administrative arrangements, I would expect Ofgem to continue to administer in line with our existing administrative arrangements. We had anticipated the Joint Review site audit programme would contribute to and inform the 2016/17 site audit strategy for the Northern Ireland Non-Domestic RHI. This has impacts for individual cases where we may determine that an audit is a proportionate control to confirm eligibility and/or ongoing compliance with the current scheme regulations, and therefore this is not something that should necessarily be suspended or paused awaiting any other review that might take place.

In line with the above, Gareth has mentioned that he has some concerns and would appreciate the opportunity to talk with Alison on this; we can then follow up and formalise via correspondence as required.

To aid progress on these matters, and assuming that would be acceptable, perhaps we could discuss later and seek to find a mutually convenient time for Alison and Gareth to discuss this?

Kind regards,

Edmund