

**Christopher Osborne**

---

**From:** McKeown, Ronan <Ronan.McKeown@uregni.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 06 January 2015 15:43  
**To:** Christopher Osborne  
**Cc:** Magowan, Stephen  
**Subject:** RE: Contestability Working Group  
**Attachments:** Contestability Working Group Application - 061115 - UFU.docx

Hi Chris,

Apologies for the delay in getting back to you. Please find attached the response to your application for the Contestability Working Group.

Kind regards,

**Ronan McKeown**  
Electricity Analyst  
Queens House  
14 Queen Street



1

Belfast BT1 6ED  
Direct Tel: +44 (0) 28 9031 6661  
General Contacts: T: +44 (0) 28 9031 1575 F: +44 (0) 28 9031 1740 E: [info@uregni.gov.uk](mailto:info@uregni.gov.uk)

---

This email and any files transmitted with it are private and intended solely for the use of the individual or the entity to which the intended recipient please notify us and then permanently delete what you have received. Prior to permanently deleting you must delete this message or attachment or disclose the contents to any other person.

**Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this e-mail?**

**Sign up to our website news alert service and receive e-mail notification of latest developments relating to our work**

---

**From:** Christopher Osborne [<mailto:christopher@ufuhq.com>]  
**Sent:** 13 November 2014 09:07  
**To:** McKeown, Ronan  
**Subject:** RE: Contestability Working Group

Ronan,

Thanks for that and speak soon.

C

2



475 Antrim Road T: 028 9037 0222  
Belfast F: 028 9037 1231  
BT15 3DA E: info@ufuhq.com  
W: www.ufuni.org

11 June 2015

Ronan McKeown  
Electricity Branch  
Utility Regulator  
Queens House  
14 Queens Street  
Belfast BT1 6ER

Dear Ronan,

### **Contestability in Connections – Proposed Next Steps Paper**

The Ulster Farmers' Union welcome the opportunity to contribute to this next steps paper.

The UFU are disappointed that the review has not gone far enough in assessing what is contestable and this could represent a missed opportunity for the land based small scale renewable sector we represent. For example, the UFU would have wished to see that the process of making the final connection to the existing system has not been deemed to be contestable.

In relation to accreditation, whilst the UFU did indicate that they were in favour of the GB model in relation to ICPs and IDNO's our response on 26 February should not be taken as a clear endorsement of the need for a blanket roll out of accreditation. The UFU wish to make it clear that whichever format of accreditation is chosen, it must not hinder the roll out of contestability. Any accreditation should not be costly and should be timely in how it is applied.

The UFU would have concerns about the limiting nature of NIE and SONI and only considering the Lloyds Register, which in itself could create unnecessary delays to contestability, there are other accreditation bodies and by widening the engagement review of potential bodies, will enhance the options available to developers. In addition, accreditation should not be applicable to all developers and a derogation could be applied dependent upon scale and capacity.

If you have any queries at this stage do not hesitate to get in contact.

Yours sincerely,

**Chris Osborne**  
Senior Policy Officer